	1 2 3 4 5 6	J. Stephen Peek, Esq. (NV Bar #1758) Jerry M. Snyder, Esq. (NV Bar #6830) Adam G. Lang, Esq. (NV Bar #10117) Shane M. Biornstad, Esq. (NV Bar #9972) HOLLAND & HART LLP 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone: (775) 327-3000 Facsimile: (775) 786-6179 speek@hollandhart.com; jsnyder@hollandhart.com; alang@hollandhart.com; sbiornstad@hollandhart.com	1
	7 8 9 10 11	Reid H. Weingarten, Esq. (D.C. Bar #365893) (Admir Brian M. Heberlig, Esq. (D.C. Bar #455381) (Admitted Robert A. Ayers, Esq. (D.C. Bar #488284) (Admitted Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-1795 Telephone: (202) 429-3000	tted Pro Hac Vice June 15, 2007) ed Pro Hac Vice June 15, 2007)
		Attorneys for eTreppid Technologies, LLC and Warre	en Trepp
	12		
	13		
,	190 r		
[]	15 15 15 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	7. A. I		
Hart I	e, Sec ida 89	DISTRICT OF N	EVADA
Holland & Hart LLP	Kietzke Lane, Secor Reno, Nevada 8951 81 11 91	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California	EVADA Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File
Holland & Hart I	3441 Kietzke Lane, Sec Reno, Nevada 89 81 91 91	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC
Holland & Hart I	1	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File
Holland & Hart I	•	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust,	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES,
Holland & Hart I	20	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust, Plaintiff, vs. ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
Holland & Hart I	20 21	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust, Plaintiff, vs. ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WARREN TREPP, an individual; DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE of	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S AND WARREN TREPP'S
Holland & Hart I	20 21 22	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust, Plaintiff, vs. ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WARREN TREPP,	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
Holland & Hart I	20 21 22 23	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust, Plaintiff, vs. ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WARREN TREPP, an individual; DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
Holland & Hart I	20 21 22 23 24	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust, Plaintiff, vs. ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WARREN TREPP, an individual; DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and DOES 1 through 10,	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
Holland & Hart I	20 21 22 23 24 25	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust, Plaintiff, vs. ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WARREN TREPP, an individual; DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and DOES 1 through 10, Defendants	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
Holland & Hart I	20 21 22 23 24 25 26	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust, Plaintiff, vs. ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WARREN TREPP, an individual; DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and DOES 1 through 10, Defendants	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-BES-VPC Base File 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 Holland & Hart LLP 22 23

eTreppid Technologies, L.L.C. (hereinafter referred to as "eTreppid"), by and through its counsel Holland & Hart LLP, hereby submits its Reply in Support of Motion to Certify Judgments [Docket # 899]. This Reply is supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities and all papers and pleadings filed herein.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. Introduction.

While the Blixseth Parties argue, in their Opposition to The Trepp Parties' Motion to Certify Judgments, that the Trepp Parties have not shown good cause for early certification of the subject judgments by confession, the Blixseth Parties do not even attempt to demonstrate that they would be prejudiced in any way by early certification. Indeed, as the subject judgments are based on confessions, they cannot be subject to a serious attempt to appeal. Notably, the Blixseth Parties do not assert that they may appeal these judgments. Accordingly, there is simply no reason why these judgments should not be certified immediately.

II. Argument

The Blixseth Parties argue that the Trepp Parties have failed to show "good cause" for early certification of the judgments at issue because (1) the mere fact that the judgment creditor will seek to execute against assets in another jurisdiction does not constitute good cause, and (2) the Trepp Parties are already in possession of assets belonging to the Blixseth Parties. However, these arguments are unsupported and should be disregarded.

First, the Court should note that the Blixseth Parties do nothing whatsoever to rebut eTreppid's primary basis for requesting early certification so that the judgments may be registered elsewhere. The judgments at issue were entered pursuant to Confessions of Judgment duly executed by the Blixseth Parties. The Blixseth Parties do not contest the validity of these confessions, nor do they make any attempt to argue that they were not properly entered. Moreover, the Blixseth Parties do not assert that they intend to appeal these judgments. Indeed, it is difficult to conceive of any cognizable basis for appeal of a judgment entered by confession. Accordingly, because these judgments will not be appealed, there is no reason to delay eTreppid's efforts to execute these judgments.

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 909 Filed 12/22/08 Page 3 of 6

Holland & Hart LLP 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 61 62 63 641 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor 64 Reno, Nevada 89511 65 Reno, Nevada 89511

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

The Blixseth Parties next argue that the mere fact that eTreppid seeks to execute this judgment against parties in another district cannot be used to show good cause for early registration because in any case in which a party seeks to register a judgment in another district, the judgment creditor will be seeking assets in another district. However, this argument ignores the circumstances of this case as a whole: Judgment was entered against the Blixseth Parties because they defaulted their obligation, agreed to in the settlement of this case, to make certain payments to the Trepp Parties. The Blixseth parties also defaulted in their obligation to provide assets to secure the amounts owed to the Trepp Parties under the terms of the settlement agreement. Under these circumstances as a whole, early certification of the judgments is appropriate.

Finally, the Blixseth Parties argue that early registration is not necessary because certain "significant assets" are already in possession of the Trepp Parties. However, the Blixseth Parties support this claim with a declaration of Ellyn Garofalo which was filed under seal and which has not yet been served on counsel for eTreppid. As the Trepp Parties have not been able to review and rebut the information contained in this declaration, they respectfully submit that this Court should not consider it in its consideration of the present motion. Moreover, it is unlikely that the value of any property belonging to the Blixseth Parties will amount to anything more than a small fraction of the amount owed to the Trepp Parties pursuant to the Confessions of Judgment.

Thus, the Blixseth parties have failed to refute eTreppid's showing of good cause for the early certification of judgment. Moreover, the Blixseth Parties have failed to suffer any unfair prejudice in the event that the present motion is granted. Accordingly, eTreppid respectfully requests that this Court immediately certify the subject judgments for registration in other districts.

///

25 ///

21

22

23

24

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 III. Conclusion. 2 For all of the foregoing reasons, eTreppid respectfully requests that its Motion to Certify 3 Judgments be granted. 4 Dated: December 22, 2008. 5 /s/ 6 J. Stephen Peek, Esq. (NV Bar #1758) Jerry M. Snyder, Esq. (NV Bar #6830) 7 Adam G. Lang, Esq. (NV Bar #10117) 8 Shane M. Biornstad, Esq. (NV Bar #9972) HOLLAND & HART LLP 9 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 10 Telephone: (775) 327-3000 Facsimile: (775) 786-6179 11 Reid H. Weingarten, Esq. (D.C. Bar #365893) 12 Brian M. Heberlig, Esq. (D.C. Bar #455381) Robert A. Ayers, Esq. (D.C. Bar #488284) 13 Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. 14 Washington, D.C. 20036-1795 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone: (202) 429-3000 15 Facsimile: (202) 429-3902 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant eTreppid Technologies, L.L.C. and Cross-Defendant Warren Trepp 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Cynthia L. Kelb, declare: 3 I am employed in the City of Reno, County of Washoe, State of Nevada, by the law offices of Holland & Hart LLP. My business address is: 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor, 4 **Reno, Nevada 89511.** I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. I am readily familiar with Holland & Hart LLP's practice for collection of mail, delivery of its hand-5 deliveries and their process of faxes. 6 On December 22, 2008, I caused the foregoing ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO CERTIFY 7 **JUDGMENTS** to be: 8 filed electronically with the U.S. District Court and therefore the court's computer _X_ system has electronically delivered a copy of the foregoing document to the 9 following person(s) at the following e-mail addresses: 10 mgunderson@gundersonlaw.com rsunshine@linerlaw.com; 11 Gunderson Law Firm egarofalo@linerlaw.com Mark H. Gunderson, Esq. Randall J. Sunshine, Esq. 12 3895 Warren Way Ellyn S. Garofalo, Esq. Liner Yankelevitz Sunshine & Regenstreif, LLP Reno, Nevada 89509 13 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor Fax No. 775/829-1226 Los Angeles, CA 90024-3503 14 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 Fax 310/500-3501 15 Holland & Hart LLP Carlotta.wells@usdoj.gov Raphael.gomez@usdoj.gov Carlotta P. Wells, Esq. Raphael O. Gomez, Esq. Senior Trial Counsel Senior Trial Counsel Federal Programs Branch Federal Programs Branch Civil Division – Room 7150 18 Civil Division – Room 6144 U.S. Department of Justice U.S. Department of Justice 20 Massachusetts Ave., NW 19 20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. P.O. Box 883 Washington, DC 20044 P.O. Box 883 20 Fax No. 202/616-8470 Washington, DC 20044 21 Fax 202/616-8470 22 Greg.addington@usdoj.gov bpeck@lrlaw.com 23 Bridget Robb Peck, Esq. Greg Addington, Esq. Lewis and Roca, LLP Assistant U.S. Attorney 24 50 W. Liberty Street, Ste. 410 100 W. Liberty Street, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501 25 Reno, NV 89501 Fax No. 775-823-2929 Fax No. 784-5181 26 27 28

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 909 Filed 12/22/08 Page 6 of 6

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	heather.ristau@bingham.com; roland.tellis@bingham.com; marshall.grossman@bingham.com Heather Ristau, Esq. Roland Tellis, Esq. Marshall B. Grossman, Esq. Bingham McCutchen LLP The Water Garden 1620 26 th Street, 4 th Floor, North Tower Santa Monica, CA 90404 Fax No. 310-907-2000	
		I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on December 22, 2008.	
		/s/ Cynthia L. Kelb	
	13		
100r	14		
LLP cond F	15 15		
k Hart ine, Se	8 16		
Holland & Hart LLP 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor	Reno, Nevada 89511 12 18		
Ho 41 Kie	2 18		
5 2	19 20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28	4410572_1	